



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Livy's work by Postgate. As to the next remark, the reader should compare *the reviewer's words* with *what I actually said*: "How his work was published is a matter of uncertainty, but inasmuch as books i, xxi, xxxi all begin with short introductions or prefaces, it has been assumed with some probability that the earlier books at least were published in decades or parts of ten books each."

The notes which he condemns as of no value for freshmen appear in fine print in footnotes and are obviously intended for the advanced student or teacher. Professor Sanders would lead the reader to believe that no distinction has been made between the different kinds of notes.

The fact that Professor Sanders has devoted his attention chiefly to minor matters seems to me to be a tribute to the essential merit of my edition. But there are some who may be swayed by such criticisms, and so this protest may not have been written in vain.

EMORY B. LEASE

COLLEGE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

REPLY

Professor Lease is entitled to what little consolation he can get from his defense. I shall take issue with him on but one point. Errors and misprints, in such numbers as his book shows, are not *minor* matters. I still think that they interfere very seriously with the value of the book. Those noted in my review were only a meager sample. I have collected a few hundred more, which I shall be glad to print, if Professor Lease will secure me the opportunity.

HENRY A. SANDERS